UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,292	04/11/2005	Christopher P. Parle	7095CEL-1	5274
22442 SHERIDAN RO	7590 11/26/200 DSS PC	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADWAY			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/505,292	PARLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen M. Gravini	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-26,29,30 and 32-34 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11-26 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10,29,30 and 32-34 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2005 is/are: a)	vn from consideration. d. election requirement.	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Those claims recite a second curvature, but the originally filed specification and supporting drawings do not show that feature. The claimed second curvature is construed as new matter because it is not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-8, 10 29-30, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Lizé (US 5,636,450) in view of Coar (US 4,218,833). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed in light of the specification, as being disclosed by Lizé for comprising:

an IR dryer, for use in drying a continuous paper web (col. 1, line 24) by means of IR radiation (col. 1, line 31), comprising:

an array of IR emitters (7, fig. 2) having a length and arranged in spaced relation to the paper web (1, fig. 2);

a lamp protection plate (9, fig. 2) placed intermediate the IR emitters (7, fig. 2) and the paper web;

said lamp protection plate having a length wherein a paper web is moved in a curved path to dry the paper web and wherein at least one of the lamp protection plate and array of IR emitters is curved along its length and extends substantially parallel to of the curved path (fig. 2, col. 1, lines 30-35); or alternatively:

an IR dryer, for use in drying a continuous paper web (col. 1, line 24) by means of IR radiation (col. 1, line 31), comprising:

an array of IR emitters (7, fig. 2) arranged in use, in spaced relation to the paper web, said array of IR emitters comprising a plurality of first quartz tubes and each tube having an IR heating element therein (1, fig. 2);

a lamp protection plate (9, fig. 2) intermediate the IR emitters (7, fig. 2) and the paper web;

said lamp protection plate comprising a second plurality of quartz tubes arranged in an array (fig. 2, col. 1, lines 30-35); or alternatively:

a drying cylinder 4 for moving the web of paper;

Application/Control Number: 10/505,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

an array of curved lamps **7** spaced from the cylinder, each of which lamps includes an IR heating element located within a corresponding curved quartz tube, each of the curved lamps having a length extending substantially parallel to and being curved along its length in a direction of a curved path of the paper on the cylinder such that the curved lamps can radiate normal to the cylinder (fig. 2); and

a curved lamp protection element 9 comprising a plurality of quartz tubes placed between said web of paper and said array of curved lamps. Although Lizé does not expressly disclose the claimed quartz tube, the quartz glass recited in column 3 line 30 is an obvious modification to that claim recitation such that one skilled in the art would be able modify the disclosed quartz glass such that it takes on a tube shape. Lizé also discloses gas powered heating elements inherently since it is well known that IR heating is by lamps and/or gas burning sources, gas passage cooling, curved reflector plate, second plurality of quartz tubes, side by side arrangement, same paper directional movement extending in the same direction as shown in figures 1-3. Lizé discloses the claimed invention, except for the claimed second curvature along its length. Coar, another IR dryer, discloses that feature at column 3 lines 22-54 and shown in figure 3. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Lizé, with the second curvature of Coar for the purpose of allowing rounded surfaces for proper spacing, suitable air guides, and simple disassembly to interior access for repair or cleaning.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-26 are allowable over the prior art as discussed in prior Office actions.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot on the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth B. Rinehart can be reached on 571 272 4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749 Application/Control Number: 10/505,292 Page 6

Art Unit: 3743